

Is it legal for "Green Car Reports" to act as a shill for a publicly traded company, like Tesla, when Tesla investors control GCR?

Sun, 31 May 2015 17:00:00, newstips66, [category: elon-musk, category: energy-dept-slush-fund, category: google-alphabet, post_tag: is-it-legal-for-green-car-reports-to-act-as-a-shill-for-a-publicly-traded-company, post_tag: key-stories, post_tag: like-tesla, category: lithium-batteries, category: tesla_motors_lies_and_defects, post_tag: when-tesla-investors-control-gcr, category: worldnews]



(Editorial)

Is it legal for the Motley Fool, Green Car Reports, and similar "front jobs", which are controlled, and in some cases, partially owned by Tesla (TSLA) investors, to hype Tesla stock, as if they were an unaffiliated publication, and post fake blog comments from the same affiliated comment authors?

Does the federal securities law not state that it is a felony to falsely promote your stock through un-disclosed, or non-obvious, means in order to "pump" your stock so that you can skim the winnings off of the stock pump before it crashes again?

Elon Musk had a synthetic digital newspaper mass publishing system and sold it for \$400 Million dollars so he could buy his first McClaren Sports car and girlfriend. This system is designed to create tons of fake online news outlets where you can pump your stock and delete anything bad that anyone says about you.

Tesla's marketing partner, Google, then took that to the next level by applying the censorship approach to the entire internet. It worked, it got Obama elected by deleted the GOP off the web.

Now that many stories have come out, from media outlets not controlled by the Musk media clamp, about what is really up with Tesla and government kick-backs, the churn mill is turned up to 11.

Hype = Stock Fraud. When will the FBI or SEC take action? It's our tax and pension fund money that is being abused.